Training Older Adults to Resist Scams with Fraud Bingo and Scam Detection Challenges

Molly Davies

Vice President of Elder Abuse Prevention WISE & Healthy Aging mdavies@wiseandhealthyaging.org

Daniel Marino Amelia Nash Kevin A. Roundy Mahmood Sharif Acar Tamersoy NortonLifeLock Research Group {Daniel.Marino, Amelia.Nash, Kevin.Roundy, Mahmood.Sharif, Acar.Tamersoy}@nortonlifelock.com

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

Copyright held by the owner/author(s). *CHI'20*, April 25–30, 2020, Honolulu, HI, USA ACM 978-1-4503-6819-3/20/04. https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.XXXXXX

Abstract

Older adults are disproportionately affected by scams, many of which target them specifically. In this interactive demo, we present Fraud Bingo, an intervention designed by WISE & Healthy Aging Center in Southern California prior to 2012, that has been played by older adults throughout the United States. We also present the Scam Defender Obstacle Course (SDOC), an interactive web application that tests a user's ability to identify scams, and subsequently teaches them how to recognize the scams. SDOC is patterned after existing phishing-recognition training tools for working professionals. We present the results of running a workshop with 17 senior citizens, where we performed a controlled study that and used SDOC to measure the effectiveness of Fraud Bingo. We outline the difficulties several participants had with completing SDOC, which indicate that tools like SDOC should be tailored to the needs of older adults. We also discuss how to adapt Fraud Bingo and SDOC for international audiences.

Author Keywords

Scams, Fraud, Interventions, Older Adults

CCS Concepts

•Human-centered computing \rightarrow Human computer interaction (HCI); User studies;

S	С	Α	М
\$2	C12	A17	M30
Bank Examiner Scam	Direct Express Text Scam	Grandchild In Distress Scam	Recovery Room Scam
S6	C16	A23	M27
Boiler Room Fraud	Free Airline Ticket Scam	Limit Personal Info on the Internet	Nigerian Letter Scam
S1	C9	A20	M32
"Advance Fee" Loan Scam	Click Bait	Guard Pin Number	TypoSquatting
\$8	C13	A18	M31
Check links/urls	Do Not Call List	Guard Bank Accounts	Install Anti- Virus

Figure 1: A Fraud Bingo card (please zoom in for improved readability).

Scam Tips	
S1. "Advance Fee" Loan Scam - for an advance fee, you will get the loan you need. But then the paperwork	stall begins
and the loan never comes. S2: Barks Examiner Scarn - someone posing as law enforcement or bank security calls to tell you that fraudu has been detected on your account. You are asked to participate in catching the "thief" by withdrawing more is this constraint.	lent activity y and giving
o uso person as inventor . 33. Be Wary of Orlaine Dating Sites - An online love interest who asks for money is almost ostainly a scam a 34. Be Caudious of Email Attachmenta - Opening email attachmenta from from unknown senders may infect	rtist. your
55. Block Pre-Screened Credit Offers - www.optoutprescreen.com or (888)567-8688	
b) (been root) roots of a binn you down up to be the second of the se	r online and name is
58. Check links/uris - Hover the mouse over a link to a uri to see where it's taking you. Destination URL should be a set to b	iid be
29. Click Bait - Be careful when opening attachments or clicking links on emails that claim to relate to an em	otionally
charged current event, internet memory, corepracy theories, etc. C10. Credit Report - Review annually with all 3 credit bureaus. Freeze credit if you don't need to apply for ne	w credit for a
11. Report Scame to FTC - Federal Trade Commission (877)382-4357	
212. Direct Express Text Scam - Text message from a party claiming to be Direct Express, you are directed sumber to provide card and pin number	to call a
213. Do Not Call List - (888) 382-1222 or https://www.donotcall.gov/	
 Enval Phishing - Do not follow links in emails or supply personal information 	
216. Free Airline Ticket Scam - Phishing acam to obtain your personal info by claiming to be a reputable airline of the first of the second s	ne company
A17. Grandchild in Distress Scam- Slowdown, talk to someone you trust and do not send money to anyone one or oranghild	laining to b
V18. Guard Bank Accounts - Don't provide account information to anyone calling or emailing	
V19. Guard Internet Passwords - Use complex password that do not contain identifying info (20. Guard Pin Number - do not share it with anyone	
121. IRS Money Owed scam - The IRS does not send taxpayers unsolicited e-mails about their tax accounts dualizers or second law issues.	, tax
422. Jury Duty Scam - Scammer calls to say that you missed jury duty and now have a warrant out for your ask for your social security numbers to they can "verify" that you were on the last.	arrest. They
A24. Lottery Scam - The scammer claims you have received a prize but you need to pay taxes on the prize t	efore you ca
every your writings. 425. Mail Theft - Don't leave incoming or outgoing mail in your mailbox overnight or on weekends. Have the work your writing of a net of team.	post office
A26. Use Caution When Browsing Websites - Always look for the https://prefix. If you don't see the "s" don't	enter any
427. Nigerian Letter Scam - Nigerian Official asks for your help in exchange for a reward, this help is usually	asking for
428. Online Auction Fraud - Do not purchase underpriced items, they may be counterfeit	
M29. Text Phishing - Fake alerts that your accounts have been compromised M30. Recovery Room Scam - These "recovery rooms" get the names of people who have been defrauded in	other scam
multimer cent anni user in verin exement exemitys un agentis Will Will recover your losses for a tee. d31. Install Anti-Virus - protect your computer from being hacked by installing anti-virus software on your co mobile device.	mputer and
MI2: TypeSquatting - Also known as "ut hjacking" where bad actors own hostnames that intentionally look I domain names with typos such as Gopgle.com	ike valid

Figure 2: Reverse side of an Fraud Bingo playing card with descriptions of all scams. Participants may take these cards home with them if they so desire.

Introduction

Older adults are disproportionately affected by scams and frauds of various kinds. For example, the United States' Federal Trade Commission reported that romance scams resulted in more reported losses (\$143 million) than any other type of scam in 2018 [5]. While the median loss reported per victim was \$2,600, it rose to \$10,000 for victims 70-year-old or over. Prior work identified factors that are correlated with susceptibility to scams, among which age is often cited as a key factor [7, 8, 6]. Researchers found that older adults experience declining sensitivity to untrustworthy information [1, 3] and a reduced ability to detect lies [1, 10]. Studies also highlighted age-related functional brain changes in response to untrustworthy cues [1].

The lack of intervention tools specifically designed for older adults motivated the WISE & Healthy Aging Institute to develop Fraud Bingo—an activity to educate participants about frauds while playing the popular game Bingo—which has since been recommended by federal and state governments throughout the USA for use at senior centers.

We also developed the Scam Defender Obstacle Course (SDOC), an interactive web application, in similar vein to existing training tools used to educate working professionals about phishing scams [9]. Utilizing Fraud Bingo as a scam training, we explored SDOC's suitability as an evaluation tool during a workshop that involved 17 senior citizens in Santa Monica, California. We found that tools like SDOC that are designed to evaluate and train working professionals to recognize phishing scams are less effective for many older adults. Here, we present Fraud Bingo, SDOC, and lessons learned from running both with groups of older adults.¹

¹We will provide interactive demos for SDOC and Fraud Bingo and will discuss the challenges older adults had using SDOC

Fraud Bingo

Fraud Bingo is an educational game that is similar to Bingo, with the main difference that when a participant announces a square they are asked to read a fraud-related advice that is written on the back of their Bingo card. Fraud Bingo was developed and rolled out quietly by WISE and Healthy Aging, who have run dozens of events in Los Angeles County for over eight years to groups of between 30 and 150 participants. The game have spread by word of mouth to other parts of the United States. It has also been translated to languages other than English, including Armenian, Chinese, Korean, and Spanish. Various incarnations of the game exist, some of which cover frauds broadly, while others focus on specific frauds (e.g., investment frauds).

Fraud Bingo's development was motivated by a need to create an engaging educational tool that could attract large audiences, and in which people of all skill levels and cultures could participate. It builds on bingo's popularity, and prizes given out in events help attract audiences.

The majority of advice that we printed on the Fraud Bingo cards were derived from the original WISE & Healthy Aging Institute's game. From this set of advice we eliminated ones that were less relevant to computer security. Moreover, following prior work [4, 8], we added advice related to online romance scams, typo-squatting, and techniques that are typically used by scammers to mislead victims. Additionally, we decided to modify Fraud Bingo from a 5×5 to a 4×4 square since we were concerned that the values in the card cells would not be random enough based on the size of our pool of advice and number of cards we needed to generate.

Scam Defender Obstacle Course

The Scam Defender Obstacle Course (SDOC) is an online evaluation and training tool that we developed to 1)

Scam Defender Obstacle Course

Welcome!

Your good friend and neighbor, Barbara Richards, often asks you to keep an eye on her home when she is away. She is traveling out of the country for several weeks without access to hore computer and has entrusted you to take care of her affairs while her's away. She has given you the keys to her home, where she keeps a notebook with the passwords for various accounts.

Your task today is to use her computer to handle email for her. She plans to give you a phone call later to check in, and you can let her know of anything important that comes up. Click "Next" to get started.

Click Next to get start

Go Back Next

Figure 3: The SDOC instructions challenge ask participants to perform computer tasks and respond to emails on behalf of a friend.

Scam Defender Obstacle Course

	marinao (2010 moor) oanorj moadando
rom	Dr Liang Hsiu «lianghsiu@gmail.com»
fo: Ba	rbara Richards <barb.rich@aol.com></barb.rich@aol.com>
() C	rona/Virus_Safety.rar
Dea Go 1 corc	Sir/Madam, wough the attached document on safety measures regarding the spreading of ta virus.
Dea Go 1 corc This WH	SUMadam, rough the attached document on safety measures regarding the spreading of a visu. It list measure can save you. It is moting covery with global accents, governments and partners to regiety I as working on this new you. and to provide achies on measures
Dea Go 1 corc This WHI expa to p	SirMadam, mough the attached document on safety measures regarding the spreading of wa virus. Itilité measure can save you. I ja working doeby with global experts, governments and partners to rapidly nd scientific troveledge on this new virus and to provide advice on measures sets health and prover the spread of the softward.

Read the attached document on the Coronaviru
 Ignore or delete the email
 Other

Figure 4: SDOC uses scam examples derived from real scam emails. This scam uses fears about the 2020 Cov-19 virus to entice users into opening a malicious attachment. measure susceptibility to a set of common on-line fraud schemes; and 2) educate users on scam warning signs.

SDOC asks the participant to imagine that they are handling the affairs of a good friend who is out of the country and away from her computer for some time (see Fig. 3). The participant is then shown a series of emails and browser windows that they encounter while using their friend's computer to accomplish this task (Fig. 4). Some of the challenges present a legitimate correspondence with an action that should be performed – for instance, the gas company sending a notice that the payment for service was declined and the balance must be paid. Other exercises present emails that are common, real-world scams that include a range of lures that attempt to get users to click a link, open an attachment, or otherwise take an action that could lead to the recipient being defrauded. For each of these challenges, the participant is asked to indicate what action they would take (e.g. "Ignore and delete email", or "Click on link to update billing information"). The participants are also presented a free-form text box and asked to explain why they chose a particular answer.

The SDOC was designed as a dual-purpose tool, to both evaluate and educate. We aim to use it to help measure the effectiveness of educational interventions, such as Fraud Bingo, in helping older adults to avoid falling for scams by comparing the performance of a control group that was not trained with a group that did receive training. However, since the participants are engaged in a hands-on exercise and being exposed to real-world fraud lures, we did not want to miss the opportunity to provide feedback and tips to users to help educate them. In order not to affect the results of the evaluation, we refrained from providing any feedback until all ten challenges were completed. Afterward, users were shown which challenges were legitimate and which were scams, along with an explanation of the indicators that can be used to arrive at the correct conclusion.

Lessons from our Bingo & SDOC Workshop

We ran a two-hour workshop whose intended purpose was to serve a controlled study evaluating the effectiveness of Fraud Bingo as an intervention technique. The event was free and advertised and open to the general public. Participants were informed that they would participate in Fraud Bingo and in a computer training. 17 older adults participated in the workshop, and they were divided into two groups. One group of eight participants began the workshop in a computer lab where they tried their hand at SDOC. Eight additional participants began the workshop in an adjacent room where they played Fraud Bingo. After 50 minutes, the two groups switched rooms, and participated in the opposite activity. One additional senior citizen arrived at this time and participated only in SDOC. Eight of the 17 participants were part of a class hosted by WISE & Healthy Aging for individuals experiencing early-stage memory loss. These participants were split evenly between both groups.

Running this workshop taught us valuable lessons about how to run an improved version of our study in the future. We share those insights below.

Running Fraud Bingo

When asked, participants reported being satisfied with the experience of playing Fraud Bingo, or made no comment. We observed several reasons for which Fraud Bingo works well as an educational tool for older adults. In particular, the actual game of Bingo exists in various incarnations throughout the world and is easily learned. At least three participants had never played Bingo before our event, yet they were able to participate in our workshop without any difficulty. Moreover, the activity was inclusive—even participate



Figure 5: Fraud Bingo workshop.



Figure 6: SDOC portion of the workshop.

pants with memory loss and other forms of cognitive decline were able to participate effectively. Last, via interactions throughout the workshop, participants were able to contextualize frauds for one another by relating experiences they have had. Such form of cooperative learning can potentially make the educational activity more effective [13].

Running SDOC

Our workshop represented the first occasion on which senior citizens had tried out the SDOC. The course was successful in certain ways, but the workshop also taught us several lessons in how to improve upon SDOC's design.

On the positive side, participants who were able to complete the SDOC (about half), reported enjoying the activity. Furthermore, SDOC increased participants' confidence in their knowledge, as several reported that it "reinforced what they already knew." This can potentially motivate the participants to adopt secure behavior in the future [11].

At the same time, the workshop highlighted several limitations of SDOC that should be addressed to improve its applicability for educating older adults. To mention some: 1) The emails may have been long for certain participants, some of whom had difficulties scrolling though and answering the subsequent questions (especially participants with cognitive decline); 2) Participants were biased to mark emails as scam (potentially because the rate of scam was higher than what would be expected in practice [12]); and 3) Free-form answers took up time (as certain participants had difficulty typing) and left too much room for interpretation. These limitations may also be relevant for other educational tools in the vein of SDOC (e.g., [9]).

Adaptations for a Global Audience

To serve the needs of international audiences, Scam Bingo and SDOC should be adapted to local needs (e.g., cer-

tain frauds are mostly encountered in specific parts of the world [2]). Fraud Bingo has already been translated into several languages, which is a good first step, yet the clues and tips themselves are still tied to scams that prey upon older adults in the United States. Fortunately, existing bingocard generation software makes it easy for advocates for older adults replace US-specific scams with local equivalents, while preserving tips that are universally applicable.

Similarly to Fraud Bingo, SDOC can be easily adapted to include scams that are relevant to the region and culture of the participants. In general, scams that prey upon similar fears tend to exist across many cultures, yet customization is necessary, as much of the educational value of SDOC lies in its ability to expose participants to scams that they are likely to encounter in practice. Localized patterns of computer or device usage must also be considered. For instance, in countries where older adults are more liked to use mobile devices than computers, scam-detection training should focus on mobile devices.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we found Fraud Bingo to be an effective training tool for older adults that span a wide range of cognitive abilities. While SDOC was appreciated by some older adults, it needs to be adapted to different skill levels, particularly in a workshop setting. In addition to adopting design guidelines for improved usability, we advocate that similar training tools be of flexible duration so that participants can complete as many or as few challenges as they can get to in a set amount of time and still receive feedback on their performance.

REFERENCES

 E. Castle, N. I. Eisenberger, T. E. Seeman, W. G. Moons, I. A. Boggero, M. S. Grinblatt, and S. E. Taylor. 2012. Neural and behavioral bases of age differences in perceptions of trust. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (2012), 20848–20852.

- [2] Nicolas Christin, Sally S Yanagihara, and Keisuke Kamataki. 2010. Dissecting one click frauds. In ACM Conference on Computer and communications security.
- [3] N. C. Ebner, P. E. Bailey, M. Horta, J. Joiner, and S. W. C. Chang. 2015. Multidisciplinary perspective on prosociality and aging. *Frontiers in Developmental Science: Social Cognition Development Across the Life Span* (2015), 303–325.
- [4] Serge Egelman and Eyal Peer. 2015. Scaling the security wall: Developing a security behavior intentions scale (sebis). In ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- [5] Emma Fletcher. 2019. Romance scams rank number one on total reported losses. (2019). https://tinyurl.com/FTC18Report Online; retrieved Feb 14, 2020.
- [6] Tian Lin, Daniel E. Capecci, Donovan M. Ellis, Harold A. Rocha, Sandeep Dommaraju, Daniela S. Oliveira, and Natalie C. Ebner. 2019. Susceptibility to Spear-Phishing Emails: Effects of Internet User Demographics and Email Content. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction 26, 5 (July 2019).
- [7] José M. Fernandez Lévesque, Fanny Lalonde and Dennis Batchelder. 2017. Age and gender as independent risk factors for malware victimisation. In

British Computer Society Human Computer Interaction Conference.

- [8] Daniela Oliveira, Donovan Ellis, Huizi Yang, Harold Rocha, Sandeep Dommaraju, Devon Weir, Melis Muradoglu, and Natalie Ebner. 2017. Dissecting spear phishing emails for older vs young adults: On the interplay of weapons of influence and life domains in predicting susceptibility to phishing. In ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- [9] proofpoint. ThreatSim Phishing Simulations: Key Features and Benefits. (????). Online; retrieved Feb 18, 2020.
- T. Ruffman, J. Murray, J. Halberstadt, , and T. Vater.
 2012. Age-related differences in deception.
 Psychology and Aging 27 (2012), 543–549.
- [11] Yukiko Sawaya, Mahmood Sharif, Nicolas Christin, Ayumu Kubota, Akihiro Nakarai, and Akira Yamada. 2017. Self-confidence trumps knowledge: A cross-cultural study of security behavior. In ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- [12] Joshua Tan, Lujo Bauer, Joseph Bonneau, Lorrie Faith Cranor, Jeremy Thomas, and Blase Ur. 2017. Can unicorns help users compare crypto key fingerprints?. In ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.
- [13] Steven Yamarik. 2007. Does cooperative learning improve student learning outcomes? *The journal of economic education* 38, 3 (2007), 259–277.